Muonium Adducts of Diacetylenes

Christopher J. Rhodes,* a Ivan D. Reid b and Emil Roduner c

^a Department of Chemistry, Queen Mary and Westfield College, University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK

^b Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

^o Physikalisch-Chemisches Institut der Universitat Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland

Addition of muonium takes place at the terminus of the conjugated diacetylene unit in MeC=C–C=CMe to give MeC(Mu)=C–C=CMe radicals, but to the adjacent position in Me₃SiC=C–C=CSiMe₃ to give Me₃SiC=C(Mu)–C=CSiMe₃ radicals.

In previous studies,^{1,2} we have measured the transverse field (TF) μ SR spectra³ of vinyl radicals formed by the addition of muonium (μ^+e^-) to acetylene derivatives. The key to this work was the use of acetylenes substituted with conjugating substituents such as phenyl and trimethylsilyl which render the radical centre linear (or rigid), otherwise the characteristic inversion of the radical centre in a bent vinyl radical provides an efficient relaxation mechanism which broadens the lines beyond detection. We now report preliminary results that provide an extension of this interesting chemistry to diacetylenes.

The results are quite distinct for MeC=C-C=CMe and Me₃SiC=C-C=CSiMe₃. In the former case, the unique radical that is observed (Fig. 1) has a muon-hyperfine coupling of 394

MHz, which is equivalent to a proton coupling of 44.2 G⁺ (obtained by multiplying by the proton:muon magnetic moment ratio and assuming that g = 2.0023). This may be compared with the coupling to the =CH₂ protons in the radical H₂C=C-C=CH (43.4 G), formed by hydrogen atom addition to HC=C-C=CH in an argon matrix at 4 K.⁴ From these data, a hyperfine isotope ratio of 1.02 may be estimated, which is rather low even for radicals that are unable to undergo conformational averaging⁵ (when this is possible, higher ratios of *ca*. 1.4 are normal); however, we have shown previously² that the reduced muon coupling (47.1 G) in the PhC=C(Mu)H radical is greater than that in the PhC=C(Mu)Me radical and so we might obtain an 'effective' isotope ratio of 1.08 on the assumption that there is either differential delocalisation involving C-C or C-H bonds in the groups C(Me)Mu or



250

300

200

150





 $\dagger 1 \text{ G} = 10^{-4} \text{ T}.$



C(H)Mu, or differential interaction of these groups with the unpaired electron due to their differing energies.

For Me₃SiC=C-C=CSiMe₃, a single radical species is again observed, but with a substantially larger coupling of 486 MHz which is equivalent to a proton coupling of 54.5 G. This means that the muonium cannot have added to the terminal carbon atom, since, if anything, the delocalising ability of the Me₃Si groups should reduce the coupling from that measured in the Me(Mu)C=C-C=CMe radical. We therefore favour the alternative view that this radical is Me₃SiC=C(Mu)-C=CSiMe₃ and we can compare the coupling with that measured for the structurally related Me₃SiC=C(Mu)Me radical² (62.1 G). This reduction shows that there is further delocalisation occurring, and we propose that this arises *via* a 'through-space' overlap, as in the structure 1. The only other report of a radical of this type is a tentative assignment to H-C=C(H)-C=CH, formed What is clear, however, is that the propensity in hydrogen (muonium or protium) addition to the diacetylene system is at the terminal positions, but the stabilisation of a radical that arises from the presence of the Me_3Si - substituent in 1 reverses this intrinsic reactivity order and directs the addition to the adjacent position.

We thank the Paul Scherrer Institute, the Swiss National Science Foundation and the Leverhulme Trust for financial support of this work.

Received, 6th May 1992; Com. 2/02344J

References

- 1 C. J. Rhodes and M. C. R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1, 1988, 84, 4495.
- 2 C. J. Rhodes and E. Roduner, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1990, 1729.
- 3 E. Roduner and H. Fischer, Chem. Phys., 1981, 54, 261.
- 4 P. H. Kasai, L. Skattebol and E. B. Whipple, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
- 1968, 90, 4509.
 5 C. J. Rhodes and M. C. R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1, 1988, 84, 1187.